

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,022	03/25/2002	Asko Paakki	OY JALO-011	5502
530	7590 03/18/2004		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			ALVO, MARC S	
	VENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/089,022	PAAKKI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve Alvo	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/2.009	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number:

10/089,022

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 mare rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PROUGH.

PROUGH teaches producing chemical pulp by charging lignocellulosic material (21) into a digester through high pressure feed valve (2); treating the lignocellulosic material (wood chips) with an impregnation liquor (60) in impregnation zone (A) to produce an impregnated lignocellulosic-containing material; treating the impregnated material in digestion zone (B) with hot liquor heated to cooking temperature and displacing (screens 61 and liquor separator at the top of the vessel (6) to liquor (23)) calcium containing spent liquor (column 2, lines 8-11 and lines 32-36) and displacing the cooking liquor from the digester using a portion of the displaced calcium-containing spent liquor (23->28->32->28->80). It would have been obvious to the artisan that the hot digestion liquor displaces the calcium containing liquor as the impregnation zonme is counter-current with the liquor (23) exiting at the top of the vessel.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PROUGH as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of SNEKKENES et al.

PROUGH teaches recycling liquor from various levels in the digester. It would have been obvious that the further down the digester the less calcium containing liquor would be present. If necessary, SNEKKENES et al teaches withdrawing spent impregnation liquor from various locations and recycling the impregnation liquor to the process at various levels to conserve chaemicals and energy. It would have been obvious to use different portions of

Application/Control Number:

10/089,022

Art Unit: 1731

impregnation liquor as the recycle liquor (80) of PROUGH as such is taught by SNEKKENES et al to conserve digesting chemical and energy.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PROUGH as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of ENEBERG et al.

ENEBERG teaches that calcium-containing liquors are used in impregnation and digestion and teaches that importance of controlling the amount of calcium by controlling temperature of the liquor to prevent scaling on the equipment. It would be prima facie obvious to control the temperature of PROUGH in the manner taught by ENEBERG to prevent scale build up on the digester of PROUGH. It would have been well within the skill in the artisan to monitor the temperature and calcium concentration as ENEBERG et al teaches that they are related and need to be controlled.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PROUGH in view of SNEKKENES et al as applied to claim11 above, and further in view of ENEBERG et al.

ENEBERG teaches that calcium-containing liquors are used in impregnation and digestion and teaches that importance of controlling the amount of calcium by controlling temperature of the liquor to prevent scaling on the equipment. It would be prima facie obvious to control the temperature of PROUGH in the manner taught by ENEBERG to prevent scale build up on the digester of PROUGH. It would have been well within the skill in the artisan to monitor the temperature and calcium concentration as ENEBERG et al teaches that they are related and need to be controlled.

Application/Control Number:

10/089,022

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10, step c) the term digester does not have a proper antecedent basis. It is also not clear if the displacing occurs in the cooking stage or in the impregnation stage.

The specification on page 10 describes detecting a clear drop in calcium content and controlling the flow of displacement liquor based on the detection of a drop in calcium content. A limitation drawn to the monitoring and control of the dilution liquor, e.g. similar to the disclosure at page 10, lines n13-14, with the proper antecedent basis of such a limitation would be given favorable consideration. However, merely monitoring variables known to be important in the process, would have been obvious to the routineer.

The Patent No. on page 1, line 18 appears to be incorrect and should be corrected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:45 AM - 2:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10/089,022 Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

msa